# Peabody Developmental Motor Scales

Second Edition (PDMS-2) Summary Report

### Section I. Identifying Information

Name: Sally Sample

Date of Testing: 01-21-2015 Date of Birth: 03-24-2011

Prematurity Adjustment: 0 days

Age: 45 months

Examiner: Terri Cooter

Examiner Title:

Clinic Name: Terri's Demo Clinic Location: Austin, TX

Test Location: Plaza Towers Elementary

### Section II. Record of PDMS-2 Subtest Scores

Subtest	Raw Score	Age Eq. Months	%ile Rank	Std. Score	Descriptive Rating
Reflexes (Re)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Stationary (St)	2	1	<1	1	Very Poor
Locomotion (Lo)	2	1	<1	1	Very Poor
Object Manipulation (Ob)	1	12	<1	1	Very Poor
Grasping (Gr)	1	1	<1	1	Very Poor
Visual-Motor Int. (Vi)	0	N/A	<1	1	Very Poor

### Section III. Profile of PDMS-2 Subtest Scores

Std. Score	Re	St	Lo	Ob	Gr	Vi	Std. Score
20 19		***************************************			14114444		20
19							19
18							18
7							17
6							16
6 5 4							15
4							14
3							<b>– 13</b>
2							12
1							11
0							10
							9
							8
		. – – – –				. – – – –	<del></del> 7
							6
•							5
							4
							3
							2
		*	*	*	*	*	1

# Section IV. Record of PDMS-2 Quotient Scores

Quotient	Sums of	%ile	Quotient	95	%	Descriptive
	Std. Scores	Rank	Score	Inte	rval	Rating
Gross Motor (GMQ)	3	<1	41	33	49	Very Poor
Fine Motor (FMQ)	2	<1	46	36	56	Very Poor
Total Motor (TMQ)	5	<1	38	32	44	Very Poor

Section V. Profile of PDMS-2 Quotient Scores

Std. Score	GMQ	FMQ	TMQ	Std. Score
165				165
160				160
155				155
150				150
145				145
140				140
135				135
130				130
125				125
120				120
115				115
110 — — 105				— 110
105				105
100				100
95				95
90				90
85				85
80				80
75 70				75
70				70
65				65
60				60
55				55
50		.i.		50
45	ı.	*		45
40 35	*		*	40 35

# Peabody Developmental Motor Scales

Second Edition (PDMS-2) Summary Report

### Section I. Identifying Information

Name: Sally Sample Date of Testing: 01-21-2015

Date of Birth: 03-24-2011 Prematurity Adjustment: 0 days

Age: 45 months

Examiner: Terri Cooter

**Examiner Title:** 

Clinic Name: Terri's Demo Clinic Location: Austin, TX

Test Location: Plaza Towers Elementary

#### Section II. Description of the PDMS-2

The Peabody Developmental Motor Scales - Second Edition (PDMS-2) is composed of six subtests that measure interrelated abilities in early motor development. It was designed to assess gross and fine motor skills in children from birth through five years of age.

Reflexes (Re) - This subtest measures aspects of a child's ability to automatically react to environmental events. Because reflexes typically become integrated by the time a child is 12 months old, this subtest is given only to children ages 2 weeks through 11 months.

Stationary (St) - This subtest measures a child's ability to sustain control of the body within its center of gravity and retain equilibrium.

Locomotion (Lo) - This subtest measures behaviors that children use to transport themselves from one place to another, such as crawling, walking, running, hopping, and jumping forward.

Object Manipulation (Ob) - This subtest measures a child's movements needed to catch and throw objects. Because these skills do not become apparent until a child reaches 11 months of age, this subtest is only given to children ages 12 months and older.

Grasping (Gr) - This subtest measures a child's ability to use his or her hands. It begins with the ability to hold an object with one hand and progresses up to actions involving the controlled use of the fingers of both hands to button and unbutton garments.

Visual-Motor Integration (Vi) - This subtest measures a child's ability to use his or her visual perceptual skills to perform complex eye-hand coordination tasks such as reaching and grasping for an object, building with blocks, and copying designs.

All of the PDMS-2 subtests contribute to a Total Motor Quotient (TMQ). This score can most appropriately be thought of as the best estimate of overall motor abilities. In addition, each subtest contributes to either the Gross Motor Quotient (GMQ) or the Fine Motor Quotient (FMQ) score.

Gross Motor Quotient (GMQ) - This quotient measures the ability to utilize the large muscle systems to move from place to place, assume a stable posture when not moving, react automatically to environmental changes, and catch/throw objects. High scores on this composite are made by children with well-developed gross motor abilities. These children would have above average movement and balance skills. They are likely to be children who could be described as agile, well-coordinated, and graceful in their movements. Low scores are made by children who have weak movement and balance skills. These children may have difficulty in learning to crawl, walk, and run. A deficit in gross motor abilities can be mild and the child's movements may be described as clumsy and uncoordinated. More severe gross motor problems may limit a child's use of their legs to such a degree that they will need assistance to move from place to place.

Fine Motor Quotient (FMQ) - This quotient measures a child's ability to use his or her hands and arms to grasp objects, stack blocks, draw figures, and manipulate objects. High scores on this composite are made by children with

well-developed fine motor abilities. These children would have above average skills picking up small objects, drawing figures, and stringing beads. They are likely to be described as good with their hands. Low scores are made by children who have weak grasping and visual-motor skills. They have difficulty in learning to pick up objects, draw designs, and using hand tools. A fine motor deficit can be mild; the child's skills may be described as immature. Some children may have problems severe enough to need specially designed utensils to feed themselves.

The PDMS-2 was normed on 2,003 children residing in 46 U.S. states and one Canadian province. In general, the characteristics of the normative sample match information provided by the U.S. Bureau of the Census in 1997 for children under 5 years old with regard to geographic region, gender, race, rural or urban residence, ethnicity, family income, parent education, and disability.

Reliability of the test was examined in studies of internal consistency, stability reliability, and interscorer differences. The internal consistency reliability coefficients for the PDMS-2 subtests exceed .90 in most instances (range from .89 to .96). Internal consistency reliability coefficients for all PDMS-2 quotients exceed .90. Test-retest reliability coefficients were also found to be greater than .90 for most PDMS-2 scores, and coefficients depicting interscorer differences met or exceeded .96 for all subtests and composites.

Content validation of the PDMS-2 was demonstrated by showing that the abilities measured by the PDMS-2 subtest are consistent with current knowledge regarding motor skill development. In addition, indices of item discrimination and difficulty are reported in the test manual. Finally, differential item functioning analysis procedures were used to provide evidence that the PDMS-2 is unbiased with respect to race, ethnicity, and gender.

Criterion-related validation of the test was examined by reporting significant correlations between the PDMS-2, the Peabody Developmental Motor Scales, and the Mullen Scales of Early Learning: AGS Edition. Construct validation was examined by showing that performance on the PDMS-2 reflects developing abilities and that the PDMS-2 differentiates between individuals known to be average and those expected to be low average or below average in motor abilities. Further, the subtest scores intercorrelate as expected, and the exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses provide validity for the PDMS-2 composites.

### Section III. Record of PDMS-2 Subtest Scores

Subtest	Raw Score	Age Eq. Months	%ile Rank	Std. Score	Descriptive Rating
Reflexes (Re)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Stationary (St)	2	1	<1	1	Very Poor
Locomotion (Lo)	2	1	<1	1	Very Poor
Object Manipulation (Ob)	1	12	<1	1	Very Poor
Grasping (Gr)	1	1	<1	1	Very Poor
Visual-Motor int. (Vi)	0	N/A	<1	1	Very Poor

# Section IV. Profile of PDMS-2 Subtest Scores

Std. Score	Re	St	Lo	Ob	Gr	Vi	Std. Score
20 19 18 17							20
19							20 19 18 17 16 15 14 — 13
18							18
17							17
16 15							16
15							15
14							14
13 — — 12							- 13 12
11							11
10							· 10
							9
9 8							8
7			. – – – –				- 7
6							6
5							5
4							4
3							3
2							2
1		*	*	*	*	*	1

### Section V. Comparison of PDMS-2 Subtest Scores for Significant Differences

This section is used to identify intra-individual strengths and weaknesses across subtests. As each comparison is made, consider the abilities that are assessed by each subtest to determine content strengths and weaknesses.

Reflexes (Re)
Stationary (St)
Locomotion (Lo)
Object Manipulation (Ob)
Grasping (Gr)
Visual-Motor Integration (Vi)

Ability Measured

Reaction to environmental events
Center of gravity and equilibrium
Transfer from one base of support to another
Throwing, catching, and kicking of objects
Ability to use hands
Visual perceptual skills

#### Comparisons

Subtests	Sig./DS	Subtests	Sig./DS	Subtests	Sig./DS
Re vs. St	No	St vs. Ob	No	Lo vs. Vi	No
Re vs. Lo	No	St vs. Gr	No	Ob vs. Gr	No
Re vs. Gr	No	St vs. Vi	No	Ob vs. Vi	No
Re vs. Ví	No	Lo vs. Ob	No	Gr vs. Vi	No
St vs. Lo	No	Lo vs. Gr	No		

Sig. = Significant difference between subtests

DS = Dominant subtest (the one with the higher score)

NA = Not available

### Section VI. Record of PDMS-2 Quotient Scores

Quotient	Sums of Std. Scores	%ile Rank	Quotient Score	95 Inte		Descriptive Rating
Gross Motor (GMQ)	3	<1	41	33	49	Very Poor
Fine Motor (FMQ)	2	<1	46	36	56	Very Poor
Total Motor (TMQ)	5	<1	38	32	44	Very Poor

### Section VII. Profile of PDMS-2 Quotient Scores

Std. Score	GMQ	FMQ	TMQ	Std. Score
165				165
160				160
155				155
150				150
145	•			145
140				140
135				135
130				130
125				125
120				120
115				115
110 — —				<b> 110</b>
105				105
100 — — –				100
95				95
90 — —				90
85				85
80				80
75				75
70				70
65				65
60				60
55				55
50				50
45		*		45
40	*		*	40
35				35

#### Section VIII. Information About Quotient Performance

#### **Gross Motor Quotient**

Sally's Gross Motor Quotient (GMQ) of 41 represents Very Poor performance. Strictly speaking, the GMQ is a numeric representation of an examinee's overall performance on three subtests for children less than 1 year old (i.e., Reflexes, Stationary, and Locomotion), and three subtests for children 1 through 5 years old (i.e., Stationary, Locomotion, and Object Manipulation). Generally, Sally is unable to utilize the large muscle systems to move from place to place, assume a stable posture when not moving, react automatically to environmental changes, and catch or throw objects.

#### Fine Motor Quotient

Sally's Fine Motor Quotient (FMQ) of 46 represents Very Poor performance. The FMQ is a numeric representation of the examinee's overall performance on the Grasping and Visual-Motor Integration subtests. In general, Sally has demonstrated an inability to use her hands and arms to grasp objects, stack blocks, draw figures, and manipulate objects.

#### **Total Motor Quotient**

Sally's Total Motor Quotient (TMQ) of 38 represents Very Poor performance. The TMQ comprises the quotient scores of the GMQ and the FMQ. Based on Sally's performance on the PDMS-2, she has inadequate overall motor abilities.

#### Section IX. Comparison of PDMS-2 Quotients

Sally's Gross Motor Quotient of 41 represents Very Poor performance and the Fine Motor Quotient of 46 represents Very Poor performance. The difference between these two scores is not statistically significant indicating that her fine and gross motor skills appear to be about the same.